1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

v.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ALBERT WRIGHT, JR. and MARVA JOE GREEN WRIGHT, Plaintiffs, No. C-07-05403-EDL NOTICE REGARDING CONSENT TO JURISDICTION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY INC Defendant.

## TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The notice of removal in the above-entitled action was filed on October 23, 2007. Pursuant to General Order 44, this case has been assigned to Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte to conduct all further proceedings and to order the entry of final judgment upon the consent of all parties in the case. A signed consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge must be filed by all parties before Magistrate Judge Laporte can consider the merits of the case or any substantive motion filed by any party.

Plaintiffs has filed a Motion to Remand, which has been noticed for hearing on January 8, 2008. The Court resets the hearing to 2:00 p.m. Accordingly, the parties are instructed to sign and return one of the enclosed forms no later than December 14, 2007, indicating whether they consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge in this matter or request reassignment to a District Court Judge.

25 Dated: December 7, 2007

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: Lili M. Harrell, Deputy Clerk

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
<ul><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul>	ALBERT WRIGHT, JR. and MARVA JOE GREEN WRIGHT, No. C 07-05403 EDL
13	Plaintiffs,
14	v. CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
18	In accordance with the provisions of Title 28, U.S.C. Section 636(c), the undersigned party in
19	the above-captioned civil matter hereby voluntarily consents to have a United States Magistrate Judge
20	conduct any and all further proceedings in the case, including trial, and order the entry of a final
21	judgment. Appeal from the judgment shall be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for
22	the Ninth Circuit
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Dated: Signature
26	Signature
27	Counsel for(Name or party or indicate "pro se")
28	(Traine of party of molecule pro se )
-	

Filed 12/07/2007

Page 2 of 3

Case 3:07-cv-05403-MJJ Document 14

Case 3:07-cv-05403-MJJ

Document 14

Filed 12/07/2007

Page 3 of 3

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	ALBERT WRIGHT, JR. and No. C 07-05403 EDL
12	Plaintiff,
13	DECLINATION TO PROCEED BEFORE
14	V. A MAGISTRATE JUDGE  V. AND  PEOLIEST FOR DE A SSIGNMENTE TO
15 16	REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,  Defendants.
18	
19	REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	The undersigned party in the above-captioned civil matter hereby declines to consent to the
21	assignment of this case to a United States Magistrate Judge for trial and disposition and hereby requests
22	the reassignment of this case to a United States District Judge.
23	
24	
25	Dated: Signature
26	
27	Counsel for(Name or party or indicate "pro se")
28	